LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
DEC 3 1965
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965.

No. 791.

JOSEPH ARRINGTON,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF OHIO,
Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO.

JAMES R. WILLIS,
Rm. 202, 1404 E. 9th Street,
Cleveland, Ohio,
Attorney for Petitioner.

THE GATES LEGAL PUBLISHING CO., CLEVELAND, OHIO—PHONE: 621-0547

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Opinions of the Courts Below ---------- 1

Statement of the Grounds on Which the Jurisdiction of This Court Is Invoked ---------- 2

State of the Proceedings at Which, and Manner in Which, the Federal Question Sought to Be Reviewed Was Raised ---------- 2

Questions Presented for Review ---------- 4

Constitutional, Statutory and Ethical Provisions Involved ---------- 5

Statement of Case ---------- 5

Argument Relied on for Allowance of the Writ ---- 9

Any Statement Secured From an Accused Without Notifying His Counsel of the Interrogation Is Inadmissible ---------- 12

"Under the Circumstances Here Presented, the Prosecution's Use at the Trial of Evidence of the Petitioner's Own Incriminating Statements Deprived Him of * * * Right[s] Secured to Him Under the Federal Constitution" ------ 15

Conclusion ---------- 16

Appendices:

A. Judgment Entry of Supreme Court of Ohio Upon Rehearing ---------- 17

B. Judgment Entry of Common Pleas Court -- 17

C. Journal Entry of the Court of Appeals of the Eighth Judicial District, Cuyahoga County 18

D. Original Order of the Supreme Court of Ohio Confirming Conviction ---------- 19

E. Original Opinion of the Supreme Court of Ohio in Support of Entry Affirming Conviction 19

F. Opinion of Supreme Court of Ohio on Motion for Reconsideration ---------- 20

G. Order Extending Time to File Petition for Writ of Certiorari ---------- 24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.

Cases.

Jackson v. United States, 337 F. 2d 144, *Cert. denied*, 380 U. S. 935 (1965) ---------- 10

Johnson v. Zerbst, 304 U. S. 458 ---------- 15

Jones and Short v. United States, 342 F. 2d 863 (D. C. Cir. *en banc* 1964) ---------- 13

Lee v. United States, 322 F. 2d 770 (5th Cir. 1963) 10

Malloy v. Hogan, 378 U. S. 1 (1964) ---------- 9

Massiah v. United States, 377 U. S. 201 (1964) ---------- 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15

McLeod v. Ohio, 381 U. S. 356 (1965) ---------- 13

People v. Di Biasi, 7 N. Y. 2d 544 (1960) ---------- 3, 9

People v. Donovan, 13 N. Y. 2d 148 (1963) ---------- 9

People v. Meyer, 11 N. Y. 2d 162 (1962) ---------- 9

People v. Rodriguez, 11 N. Y. 2d 279 (1962) ---------- 11

People v. Waterman, 9 N. Y. 2d 561 (1961) ---------- 3, 9, 13

Powell v. United States, 226 F. 2d 269 (D. C. Cir. 1955) ---------- 9

Short v. United States (No. 17,689) ---- U. S. App. D. C. ---- (Feb. 6, 1964) ---------- 13

Spano v. New York, 360 U. S. 315 (1959) ---------- 9, 11, 13

State v. Arrington, 2 Ohio St. 2d 172 (March 19, 1965) ---------- 2

State v. Arrington, 3 Ohio St. 2d 61 (July 7, 1965) ---------- 2, 14

State v. McLeod, 1 Ohio St. 2d 60 (1964) ---------- 13

Constitution.

United States Constitution:
Amendment V ----- 5, 12
Amendment VI ----- 5, 9, 12
Amendment XIV ----- 5

Statutes.

28 U. S. C. Section 1257 (2) ----- 2

Canons of Legal Ethics.

Canon 9, *The Canons of Legal Ethics of the American Bar Association* ----- 4, 5, 14, 16

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965.

No. _____.

JOSEPH ARRINGTON,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF OHIO,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO.

To the Honorable, the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

The Petitioner, Joseph Arrington, prays that a Writ of Certiorari issue to review the judgment of the Supreme Court of Ohio, made and entered by said Court on the 7th day of July 1965 (a copy of which is printed in Appendix A, *infra* page 17) which affirmed the Ohio Court of Appeals and ultimately the conviction and life sentence given the Petitioner by the trial court.

OPINIONS OF THE COURTS BELOW.

The Trial Court, that is, the Cuyahoga Common Pleas Court did not render any formal opinion. The Journal Entry of that Court is printed in Appendix B, *infra* page 17.

The Journal Entry of the Court of Appeals, which likewise did not render any formal opinion, is printed in Appendix C, *infra* page 18.